# PSEUDO-EINSTEIN REAL HYPERSURFACES IN COMPLEX SPACE FORMS

### MASAHIRO KON

#### Introduction

The purpose of the present paper is to study real hypersurfaces in complex space forms with certain condition on the Ricci tensor. Cartan and Thomas [18], have shown that an Einstein hypersurface of Euclidean space is a hypersphere if its scalar curvature is positive, and Fialkow [2] classified Einstein hypersurfaces in spaces of constant curvature (see also [5] and [11]). We shall show that any real hypersurface of a complex projective space is not Einsteinian (Theorem 4.3). So we introduce the notion of pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces in a Kaehlerian manifold.

Let M be a real hypersurface of a Kaehlerian manifold  $\overline{M}$ . Denote by J the almost complex structure of  $\overline{M}$ , and by C a unit normal of M in  $\overline{M}$ . Put JC = -U. Then U is a unit vector field tangent to M. Let g be the Riemannian metric tensor field of  $\overline{M}$  as well as the one induced on M. Now we put f(X) = g(X, U) for any vector field X tangent to M. If the Ricci tensor S of M is of the form S(X, Y) = ag(X, Y) + bf(X)f(Y) for some constants a and b, then M is called a pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface of  $\overline{M}$ . If b = 0, then M is Einsteinian. Pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space  $P^n(C)$  are studied also by Maeda [7]. Our aim is to determine all connected complete pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space  $P^n(C)$   $(n \ge 3)$  and a complex number space  $C^n$   $(n \ge 3)$ .

In §1 we state basic formulas for real hypersurfaces in a complex space form. In §2 we prove some lemmas for real hypersurfaces in a complex space form. §3 is devoted to a study of examples of pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space  $P^n(C)$ , and in §4 we determine connected complete pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces in  $P^n(C)$ . First of all, we prove that any connected pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces M of  $P^n(C)$  has at most three constant prinipal curvatures (Proposition 4.1). On the other hand, Takagi [13], [14] classified connected complete real hypersurfaces in

Communicated by K. Yano, March 14, 1977.

 $P^n(C)$  with two or three constant principal curvatures. Combining these results, we have our theorem (Theorem 4.1). In the last §5 we give some examples of pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces in a complex number space  $C^n$ , and determine all connected complete pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces in  $C^n$ .

The author would like to express his sincere thanks to Dr. R. Takagi for his valuable advice.

## 1. Preliminaries

Let  $\overline{M}$  be a Kaehlerian manifold of complex dimension n (real dimension 2n) with almost complex structure J, and M a connected Riemannian real hypersurface of  $\overline{M}$  with the induced metric. The Riemannian metric tensor field of  $\overline{M}$  will be denoted by g, that induced on M is also denoted by the same g, and all metric properties of M refer to this metric. We denote by C a unit normal of M in  $\overline{M}$ . For any vector field X tangent to M we put

(1.1) 
$$JX = \phi X + f(X)C, \quad JC = -U,$$

where  $\phi X$  is the tangential part of JX,  $\phi$  is a tensor field of type (1,1), f is a 1-form, and U is a unit vector field on M. Then they satisfy

(1.2) 
$$\phi^2 X = -X + f(X)U, \quad \phi U = 0, \quad f(\phi X) = 0$$

for any vector field X tangent to M. Thus  $(\phi, f)$  defines an almost contact structure on M. Moreover we have

(1.3) 
$$g(\phi X, Y) + g(X, \phi Y) = 0, \quad f(X) = g(X, U),$$
$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - f(X)f(Y).$$

By  $\overline{\nabla}$  we denote the operator of covariant differentiation in  $\overline{M}$ , and by  $\nabla$  the one in M determined by the induced metric. Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given respectively by

$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + g(AX, Y)C, \quad \overline{\nabla}_X C = -AX$$

for any vector fields X and Y tangent to M. We call A the second fundamental form of M, which can be considered as a symmetric (2n - 1, 2n -)-matrix. We recall that the rank of A at a point x of M is called the type number at x and is denoted by t(x).

Now we assume that  $\overline{M}$  is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4c. Then  $\overline{M}$  is called a *complex space form* and is denoted by  $\overline{M}^n(c)$ . Let R denote the Riemannian curvature tensor of M. Then we obtain (1.4)

$$R(X, Y)Z = c(g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(JY, Z)JX$$

$$-g(JX, Z)JY + 2g(X, JY)JZ) + g(AY, Z)AX$$

$$-g(AX, Z)AY - g((\nabla_X A)Y, Z)C + g((\nabla_Y A)X, Z)C.$$

Comparing the tangential and normal parts in (1.4), we have the following Gauss and Codazzi equations:

$$(1.5) R(X, Y)Z = c(g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X - g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y + 2g(X, \phi Y)\phi Z) + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY,$$

$$(1.6) \quad (\nabla_{Y}A)Y - (\nabla_{Y}A)X = c(f(X)\phi Y - f(Y)\phi X + 2g(X,\phi Y)U).$$

In particular, we have

$$g((\nabla_{Y}A)U, U) = g((\nabla_{U}A)X, U).$$

From (1.5) the Ricci tensor S of M is given by

(1.8) 
$$S(X, Y) = (2n + 1)cg(X, Y) - 3cf(X)f(Y) + Hg(AX, Y) - g(AX, AY),$$

where we have put H = trace A. Therefore the scalar curvature k of M is given by

(1.9) 
$$k = 4(n^2 - 1)c + H^2 - \operatorname{trace} A^2.$$

If H vanishes identically, then M is said to be *minimal*. If the Ricci tensor S of M is of the form S(X, Y) = ag(X, Y) + bf(X)f(Y) for some constants a and b, then M is said to be *pseudo-Einstein*. When b = 0, M is an Einstein manifold. If the second fundamental form A of M is of the form  $AX = \alpha X + \beta f(X)U$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are functions on M, then M is said to be *totally*  $\eta$ -umbilical. When  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are constant, totally  $\eta$ -umbilical real hypersurfaces of a complex space form are necessarily pseudo-Einstein. If  $\beta = 0$ , then M is *totally umbilical*. But, if  $c \neq 0$ , by (1.6) we see that there exists no totally umbilical real hypersurfaces of  $\overline{M}^n(c)$  (see Tashiro-Tachibana [16]).

#### 2. Basic formulas and lemmas

In this section we prepare some basic formulas and lemmas for real hypersurfaces of a complex space form. Let M be a connected real hypersurface of a complex space form  $\overline{M}^n(c)$  with constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4c. First of all, from (1.1) and Gauss and Weingarten formulas we

have

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}U = \phi AX,$$

(2.2) 
$$(\nabla_X \phi) Y = f(Y) AX - g(AX, Y) U$$

for any vector fields X and Y tangent to M.

Now we assume that the vector U is an eigenvector of A, that is,  $AU = \alpha U$ . Then (2.1) implies that

$$(\nabla_X A)U = (X\alpha)U + \alpha \phi AX - A\phi AX,$$

from which it follows that

$$(2.3) g((\nabla_X A)Y, U) = (X\alpha)f(Y) + \alpha g(Y, \phi AX) - g(Y, A\phi AX).$$

By Codazzi equation (1.6) and (2.3) we have

(2.4) 
$$2cg(X, \phi Y) = (X\alpha)f(Y) - (Y\alpha)f(X) + \alpha g((\phi A + A\phi)X, Y)$$
$$-2g(A\phi AX, Y).$$

Putting X = U or Y = U in (2.4), we see that  $X\alpha = (U\alpha)f(X)$  and  $Y\alpha = (U\alpha)f(Y)$ , and hence (2.4) reduces to

$$(2.5) 2cg(X, \phi Y) = \alpha g((\phi A + A\phi)X, Y) - 2g(A\phi AX, Y).$$

In the following we suppose that dim  $M = 2n - 1 \ge 3$ , i.e.,  $n \ge 2$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Let M be a real hypersurface of a complex space form  $\overline{M}^n(c)$ . If  $\phi A + A \phi = 0$ , then  $c \leq 0$ . Moreover if c = 0, then  $t(x) \leq 1$  at all x.

*Proof.* Since  $\phi A + A\phi = 0$ , we have  $\phi AU = 0$  and hence AU = f(AU)U. This means that the vector U is an eigenvector of A. We now put  $\alpha = f(AU)$ . Then (2.5) implies that

$$cg(X, \phi Y) = -g(\phi AX, AY) = g(A\phi X, AY).$$

From this we see that  $cg(\phi X, \phi X) = -g(A\phi X, A\phi X) \le 0$ . Since the rank of  $\phi$  is 2n-2 and  $n \ge 2$ , we must have  $c \le 0$ . Furthermore, if c=0 we have  $g(A\phi X, A\phi X) = 0$  and hence  $A\phi X = -\phi AX = 0$ . Therefore we obtain  $AX = \alpha f(X)U$  for any vector field X tangent to M. Thus we have  $t(x) \le 1$  at each point x of M. This completes our assertion.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let M be a real hypersurface of a complex space form  $\overline{M}^n(c)$  (c > 0). If U is an eigenvector of A, then  $\alpha = f(AU)$  is constant.

*Proof.* Since we have  $X\alpha = (U\alpha)f(X)$ , we see that  $\nabla_X \operatorname{grad} \alpha = (X\beta)U + \beta\phi AX$ , where we have put  $\beta = U\alpha$ . From this we have

$$(2.6) \qquad (Y\beta)f(X) - (X\beta)f(Y) = \beta g(\phi AX, Y) - \beta g(\phi AY, X),$$

because of the fact that  $g(\nabla_X \operatorname{grad} \alpha, Y) = g(\nabla_Y \operatorname{grad} \alpha, X)$ . Putting X = U or Y = U in (2.6), we obtain  $X\beta = (U\beta)f(X)$  and  $Y\beta = (U\beta)f(Y)$ . Therefore we have  $\beta g((\phi A + A\phi)X, Y) = 0$ . From this and Lemma 2.1, we have  $\beta = 0$  and hence  $\alpha$  is constant.

Next we consider the type number of a real hypersurface of a complex space form, and have

**Lemma 2.3.** Let M be a real hypersurface of a complex space form  $\overline{M}^n(c)$   $(c \neq 0)$ . Then t(x) > 1 at some point x of M.

*Proof.* Let us assume that the type number of M is  $t(x) \le 1$  at any point x of M. We can choose an orthonormal frame field of M for which the second fundamental form of M can be diagonal, that is,  $Ae_i = 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 2n - 2$  and  $Ae_{2n-1} = \lambda e_{2n-1}$ . Let  $M' = \{x \in M: \lambda_x \neq 0\}$ . Then M' is an open set of M. In the following our calculation is considered on M'. Then we obtain

$$g((\nabla_{e_i}A)e_j, e_k) = 0$$
 for  $i, j, k = 1, \dots, 2n - 2$ .

From this and (1.6) we have

$$f(e_i)g(\phi e_i, e_k) - f(e_i)g(\phi e_i, e_k) + 2f(e_k)g(e_i, \phi e_i) = 0.$$

Putting j = k in this equation, we see that

$$(2.7) f(e_i)g(e_i, \phi e_i) = 0,$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} f(e_i)g(e_i, \phi e_j)g(e_i, \phi e_{2n-1})$$

$$= f(e_i)g(\phi e_i, \phi e_{2n-1}) = -f(e_i)f(e_i)f(e_{2n-1}) = 0.$$

Consequently we see that  $f(e_j) = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, 2n - 2$  or  $f(e_{2n-1}) = 0$ . If  $f(e_j) = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, 2n - 2$ , then  $f(e_{2n-1}) = 1$  and hence  $e_{2n-1} = U$ . Since we have  $g((\nabla_{e_i}A)e_j, U) = 0$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, 2n - 2$ , (1.6) implies  $g(e_i, \phi e_j) = 0$ . Thus we have that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{2n-2} g(e_i, \phi e_j)g(e_i, \phi e_j) = 2n - 2 = 0,$$

or n=1. This is a contradiction. Next we suppose that  $f(e_{2n-1})=0$ . Then we have AU=0 and hence  $(\nabla_X A)U+A\phi AX=0$ . If  $AX\neq 0$ , we have  $A\phi X=0$ . Thus we have  $(\nabla_X A)=0$  for any vector field X tangent to M. From this and (1.6) we obtain  $g(X,\phi Y)=0$  for any vectors X and Y. This is a contradiction. Therefore we see that M' is empty, that is, M is totally geodesic. But this contradicts that M is not totally umbilical. Therefore we must have t(x)>1 at some point x of M.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let M be a real hypersurface of a complex space form  $\overline{M}^n(c)$   $(c \neq 0)$ . If  $\phi A = A\phi$ , then M has at most three constant principal curvatures.

*Proof.* From the assumption, we see that U is an eigenvector of A. From this and (2.6) we obtain  $\beta g(\phi AX, Y) = 0$ . If  $\beta \neq 0$  at some point x of M, then  $\phi AX = 0$  and hence (2.5) implies that  $cg(X, \phi Y) = 0$ . From this we get c = 0.

This is a contradiction. Thus we have  $\beta = 0$  and hence  $\beta$  is constant. On the other hand, from (2.5) it follows that

$$\phi A^2 X - \alpha \phi A X - c \phi X = 0.$$

Using (1.2) and (2.8) we obtain

(2.9) 
$$A^{2}X - \alpha AX - cX + cf(X)U = 0.$$

Furthermore, we may assume that  $Ae_i = \lambda_i e_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 2n - 2$  and  $Ae_{2n-1} = \alpha e_{2n-1}$ ,  $e_{2n-1} = U$ . Then (2.9) implies that at most two  $\lambda_i$  are distinct, which will be denoted by  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ . Then  $\lambda + \mu = \alpha$  and  $\lambda \mu = -c$ . Therefore  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are constant. This proves our assertion.

If M is totally  $\eta$ -umbilical, that is, if the second fundamental form A of M is of the form AX = aX + bf(X)U for some scalar functions a and b on M, then we have  $\phi A = A\phi$ . Therefore Lemma 2.4 implies that

**Lemma 2.5.** Let M be a totally  $\eta$ -umbilical real hypersurface of a complex space form  $\overline{M}^n(c)$   $(c \neq 0)$ . Then M has two constant principal curvatures.

*Proof.* From the assumption on the second fundamental form, we see that M has two principal curvatures. From Lemma 2.4 these two principal curvatures are constant.

In the sequel, we study a real hypersurface M of a complex space form  $\overline{M}^n(c)$  under the assumption that  $A\phi + \phi A = k\phi$  for some constant  $k \neq 0$ . Then the vector U is an eigenvector of A. Therefore (2.5) implies

$$(2.10) 2cg(X, \phi Y) = \alpha kg(\phi X, Y) - 2g(A\phi AX, Y).$$

On the other hand, in the proof of Lemma 2.2 we have already shown that  $\beta g((\phi A + A\phi)X, Y) = 0$  where  $\beta = U\alpha$ . Thus  $\beta kg(\phi X, Y) = 0$ . Since  $k \neq 0$ , we obtain  $\beta = 0$  and hence  $\alpha$  is constant. From the assumption and (2.10) we also have

$$2\phi A^2 X - 2k\phi AX + \alpha k\phi X + 2c\phi X = 0,$$

which implies that

$$(2.11) 2A^{2}X - 2kAX + (\alpha k + 2c)X - 2(\alpha^{2} + c)f(X)U + k\alpha f(X)U = 0.$$

From this the eigenvalues of A, which will be denoted by  $\lambda_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 2n - 2$ ),  $\alpha$  satisfies the following quadratic equation

$$2t^2 - 2kt + (\alpha k + 2c) = 0.$$

Therefore at most two  $\lambda_i$  are distinct, and hence M has at most three principal curvatures  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\alpha$ . Since  $\alpha$ , k and c are constant,  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are also constant. If  $AX = \lambda X$ , then  $A\phi X = (k - \lambda)\phi X = \mu\phi X$ . Therefore the multiplicities of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are equal to n - 1. If  $\lambda = \mu$ , then  $A\phi = \phi A$ , and therefore  $2A\phi = 2\phi A = k\phi$  which implies that  $-2AX + 2\alpha f(X)U = -kX + kf(X)U$ ,

that is, we have  $AX = \frac{1}{2}kX + \frac{1}{2}(k-2\alpha)f(X)U$ . Consequently M is totally  $\eta$ -umbilical.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let M be a real hypersurface of a complex space form  $\overline{M}^n(c)$ . If  $\phi A + A \phi = k \phi$  for some constant  $k \neq 0$ , then M has at most three constant principal curvatures  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\alpha$ . If  $\lambda \neq \mu$ , then the multiplicities of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are equal.

## 3. Examples

In this section we give examples of pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space  $P^n(C)$  with constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4. First of all, we describe real hypersurfaces in  $P^n(C)$  with two or three constant principal curvatures (see Takagi [13], [14]).

Let  $C^{n+1}$  be the space of (n+1)-tuples of complex numbers  $(z_1, \dots, z_{n+1})$ . Put  $S^{2n+1} = \{(z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}) \in C^{n+1}: \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} |z_j|^2 = 1\}$ . For a positive number r we denote by  $M_0(2n, r)$  a hypersurface of  $S^{2n+1}$  defined by

(3.1) 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} |z_{j}|^{2} = r|z_{n+1}|^{2}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} |z_{j}|^{2} = 1.$$

For an integer m ( $2 \le m \le n-1$ ) and a positive number s, a hypersurface M'(2n, m, s) of  $S^{2n+1}$  is defined by

(3.2) 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} |z_j|^2 = s \sum_{j=m+1}^{n+1} |z_j|^2, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} |z_j|^2 = 1.$$

For a number t (0 < t < 1) we denote by M'(2n, t) a hypersurface of  $S^{2n+1}$  defined by

(3.3) 
$$\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} z_j^2\right|^2 = t, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} |z_j|^2 = 1.$$

Let  $\pi$  be the natural projection of  $S^{2n+1}$  onto  $P^n(C)$ . Then  $M_0(2n-1,r)=\pi(M_0'(2n,r))$  is a connected compact real hypersurface of  $P^n(C)$  with two constant principal curvatures. We call  $M_0(2n-1,r)$  a geodesic hypersphere of  $P^n(C)$ . Moreover  $M(2n-1,m,s)=\pi(M'(2n,m,s))$   $(n \ge 3)$  and  $M(2n-1,t)=\pi(M'(2n,t))$   $(n \ge 2)$  are connected compact real hypersurfaces in  $P^n(C)$  with three constant principal curvatures. Then Takagi [13], [14] proved the following theorems.

**Theorem A** (Takagi [13]). If M is a connected complete real hypersurface in  $P^n(C)$   $(n \ge 2)$  with two constant principal curvatures, then M is a geodesic hypersphere.

**Theorem B** (Takagi [14]). If M is a connected complete real hypersurface in

 $P^n(C)$   $(n \ge 3)$  with three constant principal curvatures, then M is congruent to some M(2n-1, m, s) or M(2n-1, t).

Real hypersurfaces  $M_0(2n-1, r)$ , M(2n-1, m, s) and M(2n-1, t) are said to be of types  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  and B respectively in Takagi [13]. We denote by  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_j$  the principal curvatures of M in  $P^n(C)$ , and by  $m(\xi_1), \dots, m(\xi_j)$  their multiplicities. Then Takagi [13] gave the following table:

	dim M	j	ξį	$m(\xi_i)$
$A_1$	$ \begin{array}{c} 2n-1 \\ (n \geqslant 2) \end{array} $	2	$\xi_1 = \cot \theta$ $\xi_2 = 2 \cot 2\theta$	$m(\xi_1) = 2(n-1)$ $m(\xi_2) = 1$
$A_2$	$2(p+q)-3$ $(p \geqslant q \geqslant 2)$	3	$\xi_1 = \cot \theta$ $\xi_2 = -\tan \theta$ $\xi_3 = 2 \cot 2\theta$	$m(\xi_1) = 2(p-1)$ $m(\xi_2) = 2(q-1)$ $m(\xi_3) = 1$
В	$ \begin{array}{c} 2p - 3 \\ (p \geqslant 3) \end{array} $	$3\xi_2 = -\tan(\theta - /4)$	$\xi_1 = \cot(\theta - /4)$ $m(\xi_2) = p - 2$ $\xi_3 = 2 \cot 2\theta$	$m(\xi_1) = p - 2$ $m(\xi_3) = 1$

**TABLE** 

Here we notice that the vector U is an eigenvector of A with respect to  $\xi_3$ . Any geodesic hypersphere  $M_0(2n-1,r)$  is pseudo-Einsteinian. In the next place we show that M(2n-1,m,(m-1)/(n-m)) and M(2n-1,1/(n-1)) are pseudo-Einsteinian. From (1.8) and Table we see that M(2n-1,m,s) is pseudo-Einsteinian if and only if

$$(3.4) H \cot \theta - \cot^2 \theta = -H \tan \theta - \tan^2 \theta.$$

Since  $H = p \cot \theta - (2n - 2 - p) \tan \theta + 2 \cot 2\theta$ , where p denotes the multiplicity of  $\cot \theta$ , (3.4) implies that  $\sin^2 \theta = p/(2n - 2)$ . On the other hand, a hypersurface M'(2n, m, s) of  $S^{2n+1}$  has two principal curvatures  $\cot \theta$  and  $-\tan \theta$  with multiplicities p + 1 and 2n - 1 - p respectively (see Takagi [14, p. 515]). Thus p = 2m - 2 and

$$M' = S^{2m-1}\left(\frac{n-1}{m-1}\right) \times S^{2(n-m)+1}\left(\frac{n-1}{n-m}\right),$$

where  $(n-1)/(m-1) = \xi_1^2 + 1$  and  $(n-1)/(n-m) = \xi_2^2 + 1$ . From this and (3.2) we obtain  $s = \frac{m-1}{n-m}$ . Thus  $M(2n-1, m, \frac{m-1}{n-m})$  is pseudo-Einsteinian, and the Ricci tensor S of  $M(2n-1, m, \frac{m-1}{n-m})$  is of the form S(X, Y) = ag(X, Y) + bf(X)f(Y) for some constants a and b. Next we determine a and b. The constant a is given by  $a = (2n+1) + H \cot \theta - \cot^2 \theta$  by (1.8). Since  $\sin^2 \theta = p/(2n-2)$ ,  $H \cot \theta - \cot^2 \theta = -1$  and hence

a=2n. Moreover, from (1.8) it follows that b is given by  $b=-2+2H\cot 2\theta-4\cot^2 2\theta$ . By this we obtain b=-2. Thus the Ricci tensor S of M(2n-1, m, (m-1)/(n-m)) is of the form S(X, Y)=2ng(X, Y)-2f(X)f(Y).

Furthermore, from (1.8) and Table we see that M(2n - 1, t) is pseudo-Einsteinian if and only if

$$(3.5) \quad H\cot\left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)-\cot^2\left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)=-H\tan\left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)-\tan^2\left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{4}\right),$$

which together with

$$H = (n-1) \left[ \cot \left( \theta - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) - \tan \left( \theta - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \right] + 2 \cot 2\theta$$

gives that  $\sin^2 2\theta = 1/(n-1)$ . On the other hand, from the results of Nomizu [9, Theorem 1, p. 1186] and Takagi [14, p. 515] it follows that a hypersurface M'(2n, t) of  $S^{2n+1}$  has four constant principal curvatures  $\cot(\theta - \pi/4)$ ,  $\cot\theta$ ,  $\cot(\theta + \pi/4) = -\tan(\theta - \pi/4)$  and  $\cot(\theta + \pi/2)$  with multiplicities n-1, 1, n-1 and 1 respectively, and that t is given by  $t=\sin^2 2\theta$  (see also Takagi [15]). Consequently we obtain t=1/(n-1). Thus M(2n-1, 1/(n-1)) is pseudo-Einsteinian. Moreover we have a a=2n and b=2-4n, and hence the Ricci tensor S of M(2n-1, 1/(n-1)) is given by S(X, Y) = 2ng(X, Y) + (2-4n)f(X)f(Y).

Next, in consequence of (3.4), M(2n-1, m, (m-1)/(n-m)) is minimal if and only if  $\sin^2 \theta = \cos^2 \theta$ ,  $\sin^2 \theta = \frac{1}{2}$ . Since  $\sin^2 \theta = (m-1)/(n-1)$ , we have m = (n+1)/2. Thus M(2n-1, (n+1)/2, 1) is a pseudo-Einstein real minimal hypersurface in  $P^n(C)$ . In this case, n must be odd.

If we suppose that M(2n-1, 1/(n-1)) is minimal, (3.5) implies that  $\cot^2(\theta - \pi/4) = \tan^2(\theta - \pi/4)$ . From this we have  $\sin 2\theta = 0$ . This is a contradiction to the fact that  $\sin^2 2\theta = 1/(n-1)$ . Therefore M(2n-1, 1(n-1)) is not minimal.

A geodesic hypersphere  $M_0(2n-1,r)$  is minimal if and only if H=(2n-2) cot  $\theta+2$  cot  $2\theta=0$ , i.e.,  $\cos^2\theta=1/2n$ . Then we have (see Takagi [13, p. 51])

$$M'_0 = S^{2n-1}\left(\frac{2n}{2n-1}\right) \times S^1(2n),$$

where  $2n/(2n-1) = \xi_1^2 + 1$  and  $2n = 1/\xi_1^2 + 1$ . Thus from (3.1) we have r = 2n - 1. Therefore a geodesic hypersphere  $M_0(2n - 1, 2n - 1)$  is minimal. For a constant a of  $M_0(2n - 1, r)$  we obtain  $a = 2n + (2n - 2) \cot^2 \theta$  by using (1.8). Thus we have a > 2n, and also b = -2n.

From these considerations we see that  $M_0(2n-1, r)$ , M(2n-1, m, (m-1)/(n-m)) and M(2n-1, 1/(n-1)) are not Einsteinian.

Now we summarize some results from the previous sections. First of all, we notice the following fact. Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\alpha$  be principal curvatures of M(2n-1,m,s) or M(2n-1,t), and let  $T_{\lambda}=\{X:AX=\lambda X\}$ ,  $T_{\mu}=\{X:AX=\mu X\}$ . Then  $\phi T_{\lambda}\subset T_{\lambda}$  and  $\phi T_{\mu}\subset T_{\mu}$  on M(2n-1,m,s), and  $\phi T_{\lambda}\subset T_{\mu}$  and  $\phi T_{\mu}\subset T_{\lambda}$  on M(2n-1,t) (see Takagi [14, Lemma 3.4, p. 513]). If  $A\phi=\phi A$ , then  $\phi T_{\lambda}\subset T_{\lambda}$  and  $\phi T_{\mu}\subset T_{\mu}$ . Thus by Lemma 2.4 and Theorems A, B we obtain

**Theorem 3.1** (Okumura [10]). Let M be a connected complete real hypersurface in  $P^n(C)$   $(n \ge 3)$ . If  $A\phi = \phi A$ , then M is congruent to some  $M_0(2n-1,r)$  or M(2n-1,m,s).

From Lemma 2.5 and Theorem A we have

**Theorem 3.2** (Takagi [13]). If M is a connected complete totally  $\eta$ -umbilical real hypersurface in  $P^n(C)$   $(n \ge 2)$ , then M is a geodesic hypersphere  $M_0(2n - 1, r)$ .

Furthermore, by Lemma 2.6 and Theorems A, B we obtain

**Theorem 3.3.** Let M be a connected complete real hypersurface in  $P^n(C)$   $(n \ge 3)$ . If  $\phi A + A\phi = k\phi$  for some constant  $k \ne 0$ , then M is congruent to some  $M_0(2n-1,r)$  or M(2n-1,t).

**Remark.** In Theorem 3.3 if k = 0, then by Lemma 2.1 there is no real hypersurface in  $P^n(C)$ .

# 4. Pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface in $P^n(C)$

Let M be a connected real hypersurface of a complex space form  $\overline{M}^n(c)$   $(n \ge 3)$ . We can choose a local field of orthonormal frames  $e_1, \dots, e_{2n-1}$ ,  $e_{2n}$  in  $\overline{M}^n(c)$  in such a way that, restricted to  $M, e_1, \dots, e_{2n-1}$  are tangent to M, and  $e_{2n-1} = U$ ,  $e_{2n} = Je_{2n-1} = C$ . Then for a suitable choice of  $e_1, \dots, e_{2n-2}$ , the second fundamental form A is represented by a matrix form

(4.1) 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & h_1 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & \lambda_{2n-2} & h_{2n-2} \\ \hline h_1 & \cdots & h_{2n-2} & \alpha \end{bmatrix},$$

where we have put  $h_i = g(Ae_i, U)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 2n - 2$ , and  $\alpha = g(AU, U)$ .

In the following we assume that M is a pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface in  $\overline{M}^n(c)$ . Then (1.8) reduces to

(4.2) 
$$ag(X, Y) + bf(X)f(Y) = (2n + 1)cg(X, Y) - 3cf(X)f(Y) + Hg(AX, Y) - g(AX, AY)$$

for any vector fields X and Y tangent to M, where a and b are constants. From (4.1) and (4.2) we have the following equations:

$$g(Ae_i, Ae_j) = 0$$
 for  $i \neq j$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, 2n - 2$ ,  
 $Hg(Ae_i, U) - g(Ae_i, AU) = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 2n - 2$ .

By these equations we obtain

$$(4.3) h_i h_i = 0, i \neq j, i, j = 1, \cdots, 2n - 2,$$

$$(4.4) h_i(H - \lambda_i - \alpha) = 0, i = 1, \dots, 2n - 2.$$

Equations (4.3) show that at most one  $h_i$  does not vanish. Thus we can assume  $h_i = 0$  for  $i = 2, \dots, 2n - 2$ . Then (4.4) implies

**Lemma 4.1.** Let M be a connected real hypersurface of a complex space form  $\overline{M}^n(c)$ . If M is pseudo-Einsteinian, then  $H = \lambda_1 + \alpha$  or  $h_1 = 0$ .

On the other hand, by (4.2) we obtain the following equations:

(4.5) 
$$a = (2n+1)c + H\lambda_i - \lambda_i^2, i = \dots, 2n-2,$$

$$(4.6) a = (2n+1)c + H\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^2 - h_1^2,$$

$$(4.7) a = (2n-2)c - b + H\alpha - \alpha^2 - h_1^2.$$

In the sequel, we take  $P^{n}(C)$  as an ambient manifold. Then we can have

**Lemma 4.2.** Let M be a connected pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface in  $P^n(C)$ . Then  $h_1 = 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $H = \lambda_1 + \alpha$ . Then (4.6) and (4.7) imply b = -3. Therefore (4.2) can be rewritten as

$$(4.8) ag(X, Y) = (2n + 1)g(X, Y) + Hg(AX, Y) - g(AX, AY).$$

Here we take a new local field of orthonormal frames  $e_1, \dots, e_{2n-1}$  of M for which the second fundamental form A can be represented by a diagonal matrix form, i.e.,  $Ae_i = \beta_i e_i$   $(i = 1, \dots, 2n - 1)$ . Then (4.8) implies

(4.9) 
$$\beta_i^2 - H\beta_i + a - (2n+1) = 0.$$

Therefore each principal curvatures  $\beta_i$  satisfies the quadratic equation

$$(4.10) t^2 - Ht + a - (2n+1) = 0.$$

Thus at most two principal curvatures can be distinct at each point. Let us denote them by  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  with  $\lambda \ge \mu$ . Since M is not totally umbilical, we may

suppose  $\lambda \neq \mu$  at some point. Then from (4.10) we see

(4.11) 
$$H = \lambda + \mu, \quad \lambda \mu = a - (2n + 1).$$

Let p be the multiplicity of  $\lambda$ . Then we have  $H = p\lambda + (2n - 1 - p)\mu$ . Combining this with (4.11) gives

$$(4.12) (p-1)\lambda + (2n-2-p)\mu = 0.$$

Suppose a > (2n + 1). Then the second equation of (4.11) shows that  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  have the same sign at some point. Therefore (4.12) implies that p = 1 and n = 3/2, which is a contradiction. If a < (2n + 1) and  $\lambda = \mu$  at some point, then we have  $(2n - 2)\lambda^2 = a - (2n + 1) < 0$  by (4.10). This is also a contradiction. Hence M has exactly two distinct principal curvatures  $\lambda > \mu$  at each point. Then we see 1 from (4.12), and

$$\lambda_2 = -\frac{(2n-2-p)(a-2n-1)}{(p-1)}, \qquad \mu^2 = -\frac{(p-1)(a-2n-1)}{(2n-2-p)},$$

from (4.11) and (4.12). Therefore the two principal curvatures  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are constant. Thus applying Lemma 3.3 of Takagi [13] we must have p=1 or p=2n-2. This is also a contradiction. Next we assume that a=(2n+1). Then the product of two principal curvatures is zero, and (4.10) shows that  $\lambda^2 - H\lambda = 0$ , from which  $(p-1)\lambda^2 = 0$ . This gives  $t(x) \le 1$  at each point. This contradicts Lemma 2.3.

From Lemma 4.2 we see that the vector U is an eigenvector of A, i.e.,  $AU = \alpha U$ . Therefore from (4.2) the principal curvatures  $\lambda_i$  satisfy

$$(4.13) \lambda_i^2 - H\lambda_i + a - (2n+1) = 0, i = 1, \cdots, 2n-2.$$

Thus each  $\lambda_i$  satisfies the quadratic equation (4.10). Therefore at most two  $\lambda_i$  can be distinct. Let us denote them by  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  with  $\lambda \geqslant \mu$ . Consequently M has at most three principal curvatures  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\alpha$ .

Next we prove that  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\alpha$  are constant. From Lemma 2.2 we have already seen that  $\alpha$  is constant.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let M be a connected pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface in  $P^n(C)$   $(n \ge 3)$ . Then M has at most three constant principal curvatures.

Proof. First of all, (4.2) gives

$$(4.14) a = (2n-2) - b + H\alpha - \alpha^2.$$

If  $\alpha \neq 0$ , then H is constant by (1.14), and (4.13) implies that  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are constant. Next we suppose that  $\alpha = 0$ . Then we have  $H = p\lambda + (2n - 2 - p)\mu$ , where p denotes the multiplicity of  $\lambda$ .

Let a > (2n + 1). If  $\lambda \neq \mu$  at some point x of M, then from  $H = \lambda + \mu$ , we get  $(p - 1)\lambda + (2n - 3 - p)\mu = 0$ . Since  $\lambda \mu = a - (2n + 1) > 0$ , we conclude that p = 1 and 2n - 3 = p and hence n = 2. This is a contradiction to

the assumption  $n \ge 3$ . Thus we must have  $\lambda = \mu$  at each point. Then (4.13) implies that  $(2n-3)\lambda^2 = a - (2n+1)$  showing that  $\lambda$  is a constant.

Suppose a < (2n + 1). If  $\lambda = \mu$  at some point, then we have  $(2n - 3)\lambda^2 = a - (2n + 1) < 0$  by (4.13). This is a contradiction. Therefore  $\lambda \neq \mu$  at each point, and using (4.10) we obtain  $H = p\lambda + (2n - 2 - p)\mu = \lambda + \mu$  and  $\lambda \mu = a - (2n + 1)$  giving

$$\lambda^2 = -\frac{(2n-3-p)(a-2n-1)}{(p-1)}, \qquad \mu^2 = -\frac{(p-1)(a-2n-1)}{(2n-3-p)}.$$

Consequently the principal curvatures  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are constant.

Next we assume that a = (2n + 1). In this case the product of two principal curvatures is zero. Thus if  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $H = P\lambda$ , and (4.13) implies  $(p-1)\lambda^2 = 0$ . Hence p = 1, and  $t(x) \leq 1$  at each point. This is a contradiction by Lemma 2.3. Consequently M has at most three constant principal curvatures.

From Theorems A, B of Takagi [13], [14] and Proposition 4.1 we have

**Theorem 4.1.** If M is a connected complete pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface in  $P^n(C)$   $(n \ge 3)$ , then M is congruent to some geodesic hypersphere  $M_0(2n-1,r)$  or M(2n-1,m,(m-1)/(n-m)) or M(2n-1,1/(n-1)).

From Theorem 4.1 and the argument in §3 we have

**Theorem 4.2.** If M is a connected complete pseudo-Einstein real minimal hypersurface in  $P^n(C)$   $(n \ge 3)$ , then M is congruent to  $M_0(2n-1, 2n-1)$  or M(2n-1, (n+1)/2, 1). In the later case, n is odd.

If a real hypersurface M of  $P^n(C)$  is Einsteinian, then it is obviously pseudo-Einsteinian and has at most three constant principal curvatures. From this and Theorem 4.1, the argument in §3 gives

**Theorem 4.3.** Let M be a connected complete real hypersurface in  $P^n(C)$   $(n \ge 3)$ . Then M is not Einstein.

**Corollary 4.1.** Let M be a connected complete pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface in  $P^n(C)$   $(n \ge 3)$ . Then we have  $a \ge 2n$ . If  $a \ne 2n$ , then M is congruent to some geodesic hypersphere  $M_0(2n-1,r)$ . If a=2n and b=-2, then M is congruent to some M(2n-1,m,(m-1)/(n-m)). If a=2n and b=2-4n, then M is congruent to M(2n-1,1/(n-1)).

## 5. Pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces in $C^n$

In this section we study a connected complete pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface M in a complex number space  $C^n$  ( $n \ge 3$ ). First of all, we give some examples of connected complete pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces in  $C^n$  (=  $R^{2n}$ ).

(1) Hyperplanes:  $M = R^{2n-1}, A = 0$ .

- (2) Spheres:  $M = S^{2n-1}(c) = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in C^n : \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^2 = 1/c\},\ A = \sqrt{c} I.$
- (3) Cylinders over (2n-2)-spheres:  $M=S^{2n-2}(c)\times R$ ,  $A=\sqrt{c}\ I_{2n-2}\oplus 0$ .
- (4) Cylinders over complete plane curves:  $M = \gamma \times R^{2n-2}$ , where  $\gamma$  is a curve:  $-\infty < s < \infty \rightarrow \gamma(s)$  in a plane  $R^2$  perpendicular to  $R^{2n-2}$ ,  $A = \lambda I_1 \oplus 0$  for some scalar function  $\lambda$  on  $\gamma$ .

If M is an Einstein real hypersurface in  $C^n$ , then M is a sphere, a hyperplane, or a cylinder over a complete plane curve (cf. Ryan [11, Theorem 3.3, p. 376]).

From Lemma 4.1 we can consider two cases: (I)  $H = \lambda_1 + \alpha$ , (II)  $h_1 = 0$ , and hence U is an eigenvector of A.

If  $H = \lambda_1 + \alpha$ , then (4.6) and (4.7) imply b = 0, and hence M is an Einstein manifold. Thus we have

**Lemma 5.1.** Let M be a connected pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface of  $C^n$ . If  $H = \lambda_1 + \alpha$ , then M is an Einstein manifold.

Next we assume that  $h_1 = 0$ . Then we see that  $Ae_i = \lambda_i e_i$   $(i = 1, \dots, 2n - 2)$ , and  $AU = \alpha U$ . Moreover (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7) reduce to

$$(5.1) a = H\lambda_i - \lambda_i^2, \quad i = 1, \cdots, 2n - 2,$$

$$(5.2) a+b=H\alpha-\alpha^2.$$

Thus each  $\lambda_i$  satisfies the quadratic equation

$$t^2 - Ht + a = 0,$$

and hence we can have at most two distinct  $\lambda_i$ , which are denoted by  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  with  $\lambda \geqslant \mu$ . Consequently M has at most three principal curvatures  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\alpha$ . Since U is an eigenvector of A, by the similar method like that in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we have  $\beta g(\phi AX + A\phi X, Y) = 0$ . Therefore from Lemma 2.1 we have

**Lemma 5.2.** Let M be a connected pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface of  $C^n$ . If  $h_1 = 0$ , then  $\phi A + A\phi = 0$  or  $\beta = 0$ . Moreover if  $\phi A + A\phi = 0$ , then  $t(x) \leq 1$  at any point x of M.

If  $t(x) \le 1$  at any point x of M, then M is locally isometric to  $R^{2n-1}$ . Furthermore, if M is complete, by a theorem of Hartman-Nirenberg [4], M is a cylinder over a complete plane curve (for the proof of the theorem of Hartman-Nirenberg see also Nomizu [8]). If t(x) = 0 for all x, then M is totally geodesic and is a hyperplane.

In the following we assume that  $\beta = 0$ , that is,  $\alpha$  is constant. Here we need the following theorem due to Cartan [1] (see also Gray [3]).

**Theorem C** (Cartan [1]). Let M be a hypersurface in  $C^n$  whose principal curvatures are constant. Then at most two of them are distinct.

Suppose  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Then (5.2) shows that H is also constant, and hence  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are constant by (5.1). Therefore, from Theorem C, M has at most two distinct principal curvatures. If  $\alpha = \lambda$  or  $\alpha = \mu$ , then (5.1) and (5.2) imply that b = 0. Thus M is an Einstein manifold. Next we assume that  $\lambda = \mu$  and  $\lambda \neq \alpha$ . Then the equation (1.5) of Gauss implies

(5.3) 
$$g(X, R(X, Y)Y) = \lambda \alpha \text{ for } X \in T_{\lambda}, Y \in T_{\alpha},$$

where we have put  $T_{\lambda} = \{X : AX = \lambda X\}$  and  $T_{\alpha} = \{X : AX = \alpha X\}$ . Since  $\lambda$  and  $\alpha$  are constant, both distributions  $T_{\lambda}$  and  $T_{\alpha}$  are parallel (see Ryan [11, pp. 372–374]). Therefore g(X, R(X, Y)Y) = 0 for  $X \in T_{\lambda}$ ,  $Y \in T_{\alpha}$ , and hence  $\lambda \alpha = 0$ . By the assumption,  $\alpha \neq 0$  and hence  $\lambda = 0$ . Consequently t(x) = 1 on M.

Next suppose  $\alpha = 0$ . Then (5.2) implies

$$(5.4) a+b=0.$$

Let a > 0. If  $\lambda \neq \mu$  at some point x of M, then  $\lambda \mu = a > 0$  and  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  have the same sign. On the other hand,  $\lambda + \mu = H = p\lambda + q\mu$ , where p and q denote the multiplicities of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  respectively, from which p = 1 and q = 1. Since this contradicts the assumption  $n \ge 3$ , we have  $\lambda = \mu$  at any point of M. Hence  $a = (2n - 3)\lambda^2$ , and  $\lambda$  is constant with multiplicity p = 2n - 2.

Let a < 0. Then  $\lambda \mu < 0$ . If  $\lambda = \mu$  at some point x of M, then we get a contradiction. Thus  $\lambda \neq \mu$  at any point on M, and  $H = \lambda + \mu = p\lambda + q\mu$ ,  $\lambda \mu = a$ , from which it follows that

$$\lambda^2 = \frac{-a(2n-2-p)}{p}, \quad \mu^2 = \frac{-ap}{(2n-2-p)}.$$

Therefore  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\alpha$  are constant. This contradicts to Theorem C.

Suppose a = 0. Then (5.1) implies  $(p - 1)\lambda^2 = 0$ . If  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then p = 1. Consequently  $t(x) \leq 1$  on M. On the other hand, if a = 0, then by (5.4) we have b = 0, and M is Einsteinian.

When a > 0, M has two constant principal curvatures  $\lambda$  and  $\alpha = 0$  with multiplicities 2n - 2 and 1 respectively. Then, if M is complete, M is congruent to a cylinder over (2n - 2)-sphere  $S^{2n-2}(c) \times R$ . Indeed, the Riemannian curvature tensor R of M satisfies  $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$ , and hence a theorem of Nomizu [8] implies our assertion. From these we get

**Theorem 5.1.** Let M be a connected complete pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface in  $C^n$   $(n \ge 3)$ . Then M is congruent to a hyperplane  $R^{2n-1}$ , a sphere  $s^{2n-1}(c)$ , a cylinder over a (2n-2)-sphere  $S^{2n-2}(c) \times R$ , or a cylinder over a complete plane curve  $\gamma \times R^{2n-2}$ .

### References

- E. Cartan, Sur quelques familles remarquables d'hypersurfaces, C. R. Congrè. Math. Liege, 1939, 30-41; Oeuvres complétes Tome III, Vol. 2, p. 1481.
- [2] A. Fialkow, Hypersurfaces of a space of constant curvature, Ann. of Math. 39 (1938) 762-785.
- [3] A. Gray, Principal curvature forms, Duke Math. J. 36 (1969) 33-42.
- [4] P. Hartman & L. Nirenberg, On spherical image maps whose Jacobians do not change sign, Amer. J. Math. 81 (1959) 901-920.
- [5] S. Kobayashi & K. Nomizu, Foundations of differential geometry, Vo. II, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1969.
- [6] H. B. Lawson, Jr., Rigidity theorems in rank-1 symmetric spaces, J. Differential Geometry 4 (1970) 349-357.
- [7] Y. Maeda, On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, J. Math. Soc. Japan 28 (1976) 529-540.
- [8] K. Nomizu, On hypersurfaces satisfying a certain condition on the curvature tensor, Tôhoku Math. J. 20 (1968) 46-59.
- [9] \_\_\_\_\_, Some results in E. Cartan's theory of isoparametric families of hypersurfaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (1973) 1184-1188.
- [10] M. Okumura, On some real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 212 (1975) 355-364.
- [11] P. J. Ryan, Homogeneity and some curvature conditions for hypersurfaces, Tôhoku Math. J. 21 (1969) 363-388.
- [12] R. Takagi, On homogeneous real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space, Osaka J. Math. 10 (1973) 495-506.
- [13] \_\_\_\_\_, Real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space with constant principal curvatures,
   J. Math. Soc. Japan 27 (1975) 43-53.
- [14] \_\_\_\_\_, Real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space with constant principal curvatures.
   II, J. Math. Soc. Japan 27 (1975) 507-516.
- [15] \_\_\_\_\_, A class of hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in a sphere, J. Differential Geometry 11 (1976) 225-233.
- [16] Y. Tashiro & S. Tachibana, On Fubinian and C-Fubinian manifolds, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. 15 (1963) 176-183.
- [17] T. Y. Thomas, On closed spaces of constant mean curvature, Amer. J. Math. 58 (1936) 702-704.
- [18] \_\_\_\_\_, Extract from a letter by E. Cartan concerning my note: On closed spaces of constant mean curvature, Amer. J. Math. 59 (1937) 793-794.

SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO